

*November 11, 2016***Spring Bank Pharmaceuticals, Inc.****(SBPH/NASDAQ/\$8.02/BUY)****Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Inc.****(ARWR/NASDAQ/\$4.30/Not rated)***Sherry Grisewood, CFA*  
**Managing Partner, Life Science  
Research  
561-208-2943**

---

**ARWR Clinical Hold May Benefit SBPH**

Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals announced on November 8<sup>th</sup> it had received verbal notice from the FDA to put the Company's ongoing Heparc-2004 clinical study on hold. The Heparc-2004 is a US multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-dose study of ARC-520. The study was initiated in 2015 and was slated to enroll up to 12 patients who would receive ARC-520 at a 1mg/kg dose. The FDA put the study on hold for toxicology concerns arising from deaths in an ongoing long-term non-human primate study using EX1, the company's liver-targeted, intravenously administered delivery vehicle. According to Arrowhead, the primate study involved higher doses of EX1 than those used clinically in humans and the doses are higher than those used in the company's previous animal toxicology studies. The cause of the animal deaths is unknown and under investigation. The EX1 delivery vehicle is used in the Company's ARC-520, ARC-521, and ARC-AAT programs. The FDA has not indicated a problem with the ARC-520 Phase II MONARCH trial for which SB9200 is intended to be an immune adjuvant in an ARC-520/SB 9200 combination cohort. We note that the MONARCH trial is evaluating higher doses, 2mg/kg and 4mg/kg, of ARC-520, than the halted Heparc-2004 trial.

This trial halt was prompted by primate study deaths, a significant event, and comes on the heels of the Alnylam's announcement last month of the suspension of its Phase III revusiran study for the treatment of hereditary ATTR amyloidosis, a liver-associated genetic disease that leads to cardiomyopathy. That trial was halted and abandoned after it was found that patients were dying at a higher rate than placebo. Alnylam quickly has pivoted to another RNAi chemistry. We believe the common thread in both of these trials is that fact that the core RNAi technology still has unsolved problems when put into clinical application. Arrowhead's RNAi core technology was originated by Alnylam, but Arrowhead has independently developed a new conjugated delivery system (EX1) for delivery of the RNAi sequences.

**Spring Bank's Technology Does Not Have Similar RNAi Issues**

In our opinion, Spring Bank's SMNH technology does not have the same technical challenges as RNAi and as such, may become a "sought after" alternative if the antiviral focused RNAi companies continue to experience trial safety issues. Like Alnylam's technology, the ARC-520 technology uses RNAi machinery to direct specific cleavage of RNA transcripts (HBV, in the specific case of ARC-520), thereby effecting a disruption of downstream RNA/DNA activities. Long-standing technical issues with RNAi have been associated with the ability to control the specific cleavage events and to prevent nucleases in the cell cytoplasm from prematurely cleaving or miscleaving the RNA sequence before it has reached its intended target and thereby, creating off-

targeting effects, including triggering high level immune response. In contrast, the dinucleotide SB 9200 binds to and upregulates RIG-1 and NOD2, on a substitution-like basis, not a specific cleavage site mechanism like RNAi, in order to prevent viral integration into the cell's innate immune response machinery. *We believe this is a subtle but extremely important distinction between the two approaches that investors are overlooking as they assess the impact of these two RNAi clinical trial halts.*

Both Spring Bank and Arrowhead are presenting data at a HBV-specific conference being held at Harvard Medical School today and Arrowhead has a number of presentations on the docket at the AASLD, the academic society meeting for liver diseases, that also gets underway today in Boston. We are attending the Harvard Medical School event and hope to have a further update on the RNAi question following the conclusion of the AASLD. **We are maintaining our BUY rating on Spring Bank shares in light of these events. SG**



Company Notes provide current information we believe might be noteworthy to investors regarding the subject companies. Company Notes are not intended to be complete research reports. More detailed information concerning the rated companies referenced in this Note, including the full reports, basis for price targets and other disclosures, may be found at: [http://dawsonjames.com/research\\_coverage](http://dawsonjames.com/research_coverage).

**Important Disclosures:**

Dawson James Securities, Inc. (the "Firm") is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC").

The Firm does not make a market in the securities of the subject companies. The Firm has engaged in investment banking relationships with SBPH and ARWR in the prior 12 months, as a manager or co-manager of a public offering and has received compensation resulting from those relationships. The Firm may seek compensation for investment banking services in the future from each of the subject companies. The Firm may have received other compensation from the subject companies in the last 12 months for services unrelated to investment banking.

Neither the research analyst(s) whose name appears on this report nor any member of his (their) household is an officer, director or advisory board member of these companies. The Firm and/or its directors and employees may own securities of the company(s) in this report and may increase or decrease holdings in the future. As of October 31, 2016, the Firm as a whole did not beneficially own 1% or more of any class of common equity securities of any of the subject company (s) of this report. The Firm, its officers, directors, analysts or employees may effect transactions in and have long or short positions in the securities (or options or warrants related to those securities) of the companies subject to this report. The Firm may effect transactions as principal or agent in those securities.

Analysts receive no direct compensation in connection with the Firm's investment banking business. All Firm employees, including the analyst(s) responsible for preparing this report, may be eligible to receive non-product or service specific monetary bonus compensation that is based upon various factors, including total revenues of the Firm and its affiliates as well as a portion of the proceeds from a broad pool of investment vehicles consisting of components of the compensation generated by investment banking activities, including but not limited to shares of stock and/or warrants, which may or may not include the securities referenced in this report.

Although the statements in this report have been obtained from and are based upon recognized statistical services, issuer reports or communications, or other sources that the Firm believes to be reliable, we cannot guarantee their accuracy. All opinions and estimates included in this report constitute the analyst's judgment as of the date of this report and are subject to change without notice.

The securities of the company discussed in this report may be unsuitable for investors depending on their specific investment objectives and financial position. This report is offered for informational purposes only, and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities discussed herein in any jurisdiction where such would be prohibited. Additional information is available upon request.

**Ratings Definitions:**

- 1) **Buy:** the analyst believes the price of the stock will appreciate and produce a total return of at least 20% over the next 12-18 months;
- 2) **Neutral:** the analyst believes the price of the stock is fairly valued for the next 12-18 months;
- 3) **Sell:** the analyst believes the price of the stock will decline by at least 20% over the next 12-18 months and should be sold.

The following chart reflects the range of current research report ratings for all companies followed by the analysts of the Firm. The chart also reflects the research report ratings relating to those companies for which the Firm has performed investment banking services.

Ratings Distribution	Company Coverage		Investment Banking	
	# of Companies	% of Total	# of Companies	% of Totals
Market Outperform (Buy)	2	22%	1	50%
Market Perform (Neutral)	1	11%	1	100%
Market Underperform (Sell)	0	0%	0	0%
Rating Suspensions*	6	67%	5	71%
Total	9	100%	7	22%

\*Suspensions are ratings under review for possible change due to unusual market-moving news, and/or analyst departure/change

### **Analyst Certification:**

The analyst(s) whose name appears on this research report certifies that 1) all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect his (their) personal views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers discussed; and 2) no part of the research analyst's compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the research analyst in this research report; and 3) all Dawson James employees, including the analyst(s) responsible for preparing this research report, may be eligible to receive non-product or service specific monetary bonus compensation that is based upon various factors, including total revenues of Dawson James and its affiliates as well as a portion of the proceeds from a broad pool of investment vehicles consisting of components of the compensation generated by investment banking activities, including but not limited to shares of stock and/or warrants, which may or may not include the securities referenced in this report.